# Psychometric properties of the Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture: findings from the UK

P Waterson,<sup>1</sup> P Griffiths,<sup>1</sup> C Stride,<sup>2</sup> J Murphy,<sup>3</sup> S Hignett<sup>4</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

Background Patient safety culture is measured using a range of survey tools. Many provide limited data on psychometric properties and few report findings outside of the US healthcare context. This study reports an assessment of the psychometric properties and suitability of the American Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture for use within the UK.

Methods A questionnaire survey of three hospitals within a large UK Acute NHS Trust. 1437 questionnaires were completed (37% response rate). Exploratory factor analysis, confirmatory factor analysis and reliability analyses were carried out to assess the psychometric performance of this survey instrument and to explore potential improvements.

**Results** Reliability analysis of the items within each proposed scale showed that more than half failed to achieve satisfactory internal consistency (Cronbach's  $\alpha < 0.7$ ). Furthermore, a confirmatory factor analysis carried out on the UK data set achieved a poor fit when compared with the original American model. An optimal measurement model was then constructed via exploratory and confirmatory factor analyses with splithalf sample validation and consisted of nine dimensions compared with the original 12 in the American model. Conclusion This is one of the few studies to provide an evaluation of an American patient safety culture survey using data from the UK. The results indicate that there is need for caution in using the Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture survey in the UK and underline the importance of appropriate validation of safety culture surveys before extending their usage to populations outside of the specific geographical and healthcare contexts in which they were developed.

The measurement of patient safety culture is a growing industry among researchers and healthcare professionals.<sup>1-6</sup> In the UK, at least a third of NHS Trusts are taking part in some form of culture assessment.<sup>7</sup> Measurement methods range from more generic "toolkits" to methods designed for specific healthcare contexts (eg, primary care).<sup>8</sup> 9 Questionnaire surveys are frequently used to measure-for example, team working, attitudes towards errors and general perceptions of safety. However, it has been suggested that many questionnaires lack explicit theoretical underpinning and fail to report the full psychometric properties of measures,<sup>10</sup> <sup>11</sup> raising the possibility that they neither consistently measure specific aspects of patient safety nor generalise across different national and healthcare-specific environments.<sup>2</sup> This has particular relevance for the assessment of patient safety culture in the UK because a number of the surveys currently being used within NHS Trusts were developed in the USA.7 4 12 In this paper, we report the use within the UK of the American Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality-sponsored Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture (HSOPC) questionnaire. We focus on the psychometric properties of the HSOPC and its suitability for use within a UK context.

#### HOSPITAL SURVEY ON PATIENT SAFETY CULTURE

The HSOPC questionnaire is based on a set of pilot studies carried out in 21 different hospitals involving 1461 hospital staff across the USA.<sup>12</sup> As a result of a series of item and content analyses, reliability analysis, and exploratory and confirmatory factor analyses, it consists of 42 items that group into 12 dimensions; two outcome dimensions and 10 safety dimensions. For each item there were five possible response categories, the labelling of which varies across dimensions. Of the 42 items, 17 are asked from a "negative" viewpoint and are subsequently reverse-scored. The confirmatory factor analysis carried out during the development of the questionnaire indicated that the 12-factor model proposed had an adequate level of fit to the data using established criteria,<sup>13</sup> specifically with comparative fit index (CFI)=0.94, non-normed fit index (NNFI)=0.93, root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA)=0.04 and root mean square residual (RMR)=0.04.<sup>12</sup> Very few published accounts of the use of the survey are available; however, the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality have made available a database that facilitates the benchmarking of findings from other users of the survey. The database for 2008—for example, consists of data drawn from 160176 respondents across 519 hospitals in the USA.<sup>14</sup> Comparable data from the UK and Europe are not available, although there is evidence that the survey is being used within UK Trusts.7

#### METHOD Sample

The HSOPC questionnaire was distributed to three hospitals within a large NHS Acute Trust in the East Midlands between May and June 2006. Questionnaires were distributed by key staff working in wards and other specialist areas across the three hospitals. Clinical and non-clinical staff could freely and anonymously fill in the questionnaire and return their responses by post in an envelope provided. The project was reviewed and approved as an audit by both the chair of the local ethics research committee and the research and development department.

published online only. To view journal online (http://gshc.bmj. University, Loughborough, UK <sup>2</sup>Institute of Work Psychology, University of Sheffield, Sheffield,

#### <sup>3</sup>Ham Associates Ltd., London, UK

Additional appendices are

these files please visit the

<sup>1</sup>Department of Human

Sciences, Loughborough

com).

UK

<sup>4</sup>Healthcare and Patient Safety Research Unit, Department of Human Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough, UK

#### **Correspondence** to

Dr Patrick Waterson. Department of Human Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough LE11 3TU, UK; p.waterson@lboro.ac.uk

Accepted 5 March 2009 Published Online First 8 March 2010

## **Original research**

#### Changes made to the questionnaire

As a result of presurvey group discussions with staff members, a number of changes were made. These included adjustments to the wording of individual items with respect to terminology used within UK. The words "area" and "unit" were changed to "ward" and "department" (affecting questions A28, A1, A7, A20, A12, F4, F13, F2, F7, F3, F9) and the term "adverse outcome" was used to substitute for "error" and "mistake" (questions D1, D2, D3, C7, C9). The words "over and over" in guestion B4 were replaced by "repeatedly". In addition, after discussions with hospital management, one item (question A19) in the "Nonpunitive responses to error" dimension was removed from the questionnaire. Finally, because of a proofreading error, the meaning of one item (question F1) in the "Hospital management support for patient safety" dimension was altered. This item was subsequently discarded because of this change of meaning, resulting in 40 items used in our data analyses compared with 42 from the original HSOPC survey (table 1). The survey also collected a small amount of background information, specifically on respondents' hospital, job type and tenure.

### Survey response and sample properties

Four thousand questionnaires were distributed, of which 1461 were returned (a 37% response rate representing 12% of the total employees in the Trust). Within these cases, 1017 respondents had given valid responses to the 40 HSOPC items subsequently analysed. Sixty per cent of the sample were nursing staff (trained and untrained), followed by allied healthcare professionals (21%), management and administrative staff (11%) and medical staff (8%); just less than half the sample (45%) had been working in their current hospital for at least 5 years.

#### Table 1 Modified version of the HSOPC questionnaire

Question number	Dimension/item
Overall perceptions of safety (out	come dimension)
A25	Patient safety is never sacrificed to get the work done
A30	Our procedures and systems are good at preventing errors from happening
A18	It is just by chance that serious mistakes don't happen around here
A28*	We have patient safety problems in this ward/ department
Frequency of error reporting (out	come dimension)
D1	When an event occurs, but is <u>caught and identified</u> <u>before affecting the patient</u> , how often is it reported?
D2	When an event occurs, but it has <u>no adverse</u> outcome to the patient, how often is it reported?
D3	When an event occurs that <u>could have an adverse</u> outcome to the patient but does not, how often is it reported?
Supervisor/manager expectations	and actions promoting patient safety
B1	My supervisor/manager provides positive feedback when he/she sees a job done according to established patient safety procedures
B2	My supervisor/manager seriously considers staff suggestions for improving patient safety
B3	Whenever pressure build up, my supervisor/ manager wants us to work faster, even if it means taking shortcuts
B4	My supervisor/manager overlooks patient safety problems that happen repeatedly
Organisational learning—continue	ous improvement
A14	We are actively doing things to improve patient safety

#### Table 1 Continued Question number Dimension/item A16 Mistakes have led to positive changes around here After we make changes to patient safety, we A22 evaluate their effectiveness Teamwork within units A1\* People support one another in this ward/ department When a lot of work needs to be done guickly, we A3 work together as a team to get the work done Α7\* In this ward/department, people treat each other with respect A20\* When one area in this ward/department gets busy, others help out Communication openness Staff will freely speak up if they see something C3 that may negatively affect patient care C8 Staff feel free to question the decisions and actions of those with more authority C11 Staff are afraid to ask questions where something doesn't seem right Feedback and communication about error C1 We are given feedback about changes put into place based on event reports C7 We are informed about events that happen in this ward/department In this ward/department, we discuss ways to C9 prevent events from happening again Non-punitive response to error A19† When an event is reported, it feels like the person is being written up, not the problem A15 Staff feel that their mistakes are held against them Staff worry that mistakes they make are kept in A26 their personal files Staffing A2 We have enough staff to handle the workload Staff in this ward/department work longer hours A12\* that is best for patient care A13 We use more agency/temporary staff than is best for patient care A24 We often work in "crisis mode" trying to do too much, too quickly Hospital management support for patient safety Hospital management provides a work climate F1† that promotes patient safety F10 The actions of hospital management show that patient safety is a top priority Hospital management seems interested in patient F11 safety only after an adverse event happens Teamwork across hospital units There is good cooperation across hospital wards/ F4\* departments that need to work together Hospital wards/departments work well together to F13\* provide the best care for patients F2\* Hospital wards/departments do not coordinate well with each other It is often unpleasant to work with staff from other F7\* hospital wards/departments Hospital handoffs/transitions F3\* Things "fall between the cracks" when transferring patients from one ward/department to another F5 Important patient care information is often lost during shift changes F9\* Problems often occur in the exchange of information across hospital wards/departments F14 Shift changes are problematic for patients in this hospital

\*Item changed from original HSOPC questionnaire.

†Item not used in the questionnaire or discarded from the analysis.

HSOPC, Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture.

#### Analysis of data

We first examined the responses made to each item within the 12 HSOPC dimensions, and assessed the original 12 dimension model in relation to our sample, both in terms of the internal consistency reliability of each dimensional grouping of items and as a whole using confirmatory factor analysis to assess the overall level of fit.

We then constructed the optimal measurement model for our sample to see if and how this differed from the original model. Our sample was split randomly into two halves; on one "construction" half, exploratory factor analysis (EFA) was used to construct a measurement model for the items; the other "validation" half of the data was then used to test this model via confirmatory factor analysis (CFA). Having finalised our optimal model, we then performed reliability analysis on the sets of items in each resulting dimension using the whole sample. Table 2 provides a glossary that explains some the common terms used when carrying out EFA and CFA statistical analyses.

#### RESULTS

#### Item responses

With the exception of two factors (ie, hospital handover handoffs and transitions), the main findings were positive with regard to the type of safety culture within the Trust as a whole. Online Appendix A shows the percentage responses in each category reported for each item used in the survey.

#### Testing the original model

The results of a reliability analysis on the original dimensions are presented in table 3. Of the 12 groupings of items, 7 (Overall perceptions of safety, Supervisor/manager expectations, Organisational learning—continuous improvement, Communication openness, Non-punitive responses to error, Staffing, Hospital management support) fell short of an adequate level of internal consistency (Cronbach's  $\alpha$ <0.7), with Staffing exhibiting an extremely poor level of reliability ( $\alpha$ =0.58). Only two of the dimensions achieved  $\alpha$  values >0.80 (Frequency of error reporting, Feedback and communication about error).

A CFA of the original model was then run ( $\chi^2$ =1907, 674 df); the full range of fit indices suggested a level of fit with marginal adequacy; specifically CFI=0.91, NNFI=0.89, RMSEA=0.04, standardised root mean square residual=0.05. Of the 40 items, 4 (A12, A13, B4 and B7) had <20% of their variability explained by the model, and a further 7 items had <30% of variability explained. In addition, of the 40 standardised path coefficients, 8 dropped below the widely applied 0.5 cutoff.

#### **Constructing an optimal model**

Having found that the original model did not fit the UK data satisfactorily, we then carried out a robust construction of the optimal measurement model for the 40 HSOPC items in the UK survey. On one randomly selected "construction" half of the data, we performed an EFA, using principal axis factoring as the extraction method and assessing the number of factors to be extracted by a combination of Kaiser's criterion and Cattell's screen plot method.<sup>15</sup> An oblique rotation was carried out to aid interpretation of the resulting factors. Having examined a series of possible models, and gradually removing 13 items that were either severely cross-loaded or had very low loadings and communalities, the evidence pointed most strongly towards a nine-factor model for the remaining 27 items. This accounted for 66.8% of their total variance and is given with the factor loadings in online appendix B.

We then tested the fit of this model to the other "validation" half of the data set using CFA ( $\chi^2$ =588, 288 df). The fit indices suggested an adequate fit to the data, with CFI=0.95, Tucker–Lewis Index=0.93, RMSEA=0.04, standardised root mean square residual=0.04. Furthermore, the model accounted for at least 20% of the variance of each item and greater than 30% of the variance for all but two items. All but one of the factor loadings from the EFA and all 27 standardised path coefficients from the CFA were >0.5.

The interpretations of the dimensions resulting from the optimal measurement model constructed and tested on the UK data were similar to those from the original model. Indeed, there still existed dimensions for "Communication openness", "Feedback, frequency of event reporting", "Non-punitive responses to

 Table 2
 Glossary of terms used with exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis

Term	Explanation
Measurement model	Model that relates a set of latent (unmeasurable) factors to a larger number of observed variables (indicators) being used to measure them.
EFA	A technique used to identify the factors, and hence the possible measurement model(s), underlying a large number of observed variables.
Principle axis factoring	A method of identifying the relationship between these factors and the observed variables from the observed correlations between the variables.
Kaisers (eigenvalue >1) criterion	A method for deciding how many factors underlie the observed variables, based on extracting only factors that explain more variability than any single observed variable would.
Cattell's screen plot	A method for deciding how many factors underlie the observed variables, based on using a plot to identify at which point subsequent extracted factors explain only spurious extra variability, and hence should not be retained.
(Oblique) factor rotation	Factor rotation aids interpretation of factors via rearranging the variance explained between them. A oblique rotation specifically allows factors to be correlated and is hence considered suitable when the expected underlying concepts are likely to be related.
CFA	A technique used to test the fit of a hypothesised measurement model to the data, generally by comparing the observed and expected covariance matrices. Unlike EFA that seeks to find one or more possible "best" models, CFA attempts to say how good these best models actually are.
Model $\chi^2$ statistic	A statistic for the measure of fit between observed data and that expected given the hypothesised measurement model. A $\chi^2$ statistic significantly different from zero indicates that the observed and expected models differ significantly. However, as sample size increases, the model $\chi^2$ is increasingly sensitive; for even in simple models, minor differences between expected and observed data can lead to a significant $\chi^2$ statistic (hence, the need for other fit indices to reflect model fit—eg, comparative fit indices).
CFI, and the TLI or NNFI	Fit indices based on comparing the model $\chi^2$ statistic to that for the null model, with model complexity penalised. Both take values between 0 and 1, with the current consensus being that values >0.9 indicate an adequate fit and values >0.95 indicate a good fit.
RMSEA	A fit index based on adjusting the $\chi^2$ statistic for the sample size. Current consensus is that good models have an RMSEA of $\leq$ 0.05, and that models with RMSEA $>$ 0.10 have a poor fit to the observed data.
SRMR	A fit index based on the standardised difference between the observed covariance and predicted covariance matrices, with a value $<$ 0.08 considered as indicating a model with good fit to the observed data.

CFA, confirmatory factor analysis; CFI, comparative fit index; EFA, exploratory factor analysis; NNFI, non-normed fit index; RMSEA, root mean square error of approximation; SRMR, standardized root mean square residual; TLI, Tucker–Lewis Index.

### Table 3 HSOPC items in the UK data and their fit to the original 12 dimension model

Density precision of active functions of marked on AppanetsU0.67A50Due procedure and systems are good at growting around here0.530.530.53A51It is just of variance that serving markeds on Appanets around here0.540.670.53A52When an event accound. It is marked on Appanets around here0.570.530.530.53D1When an event accound. It is an equative actions to the patisity bow often is it reported?0.590.530.53D2When an event accound. It is an equative actions to the patisity bow often is it reported?0.590.530.53D3Ware an event accound. It is an equative actions to the patisity bow often is it reported?0.530.530.53D3Ware an event accound and active ported patient safety functions0.590.730.530.53D4Ware an event accound it is an equative actions to the back state as alph done0.560.530.530.53D4Ware accolled patient safety products0.660.520.530.550.530.550.530.550.	Dimens	ion/item	Item R <sup>2</sup> from CFA*	Standard path coefficient from CFA*	Reliability of dimension†
Add SoParticle catery is nearly is nearly in sever scattered to get the work done0,250,250,33AlsNo the proceed of a powering more from happen around here0.450.470.43AlsWe have poster addry profession in the work dignaturement0.470.400.47D1Wen an over of courts, in categet and identified before affecting the posters! Nov often is it reported?0.470.43D2Wen an over of courts, in categet and identified before affecting the posters?0.570.43D3Wen an over of courts, in categet and identified before affecting the posters?0.540.57D4Wen an over of courts, in categet and identified before affecting the posters?0.540.57D5We supervisorimentage area to sky considers staff suggesties for improving patient staffs?0.540.57D5We supervisorimentage area to sky considers staff suggesties for improving patient staffs?0.540.57D6We supervisorimentage area to sky considers staff suggesties for improving patient staffs?0.540.57D7We and ever over over to staff suggesties staff suggesties for improving patient staffs?0.540.57D7We and ever over over to staff suggesties staff suggesties for improving staff suggesties sta	Overall p	perceptions of safety (outcome dimension)			0.67
AloaDue proceedures and systems are good at proventing terms from happening0.330.330.370.37AloaWe have patient affer yorberms in this ward/apartment0.570.570.57AloaWhen a neeret occurs, bit has an exderive actions the patient, how often is it reported?0.570.33AloaWhan a neeret occurs, bit has an exderive actions the patient, how often is it reported?0.570.33AloaWhan a neeret occurs, bit has an exderive actions the patient, how often is it reported?0.580.37Supervised/Insager respectations and actions promoting patient safety0.680.220.38New set occurs, bit is reported?0.680.320.38New set occurs, bit is reported without when have set as bit does not.0.650.37New set occurs and a trader promoting patient safety problems0.640.320.38New set occurs and actions promoting patient safety problems0.650.670.37New set occurs and the inder set occurs and safety problems0.650.670.37New set occurs and the inder set occurs and the inder set occurs and safety problems0.620.670.37New set occurs and the inder set occurs and the inder set occurs and the inder set occurs and safety problems0.520.570.57New set occurs and the inder se			0.25	0.50	
AddWe have patient step problems in this wand(department0.370.60D1When an event const, but is analyt and (distrifted baffer affecting the patient, two ofhers is reported?0.450.67D3When an event const, but is an adverse activation is the patient, bwo ofhers is reported?0.590.37D3When an event const, but is the patient?0.590.77D30Window event const, but is the patient?0.680.32D31My supervise/immanger overloads patient steps0.680.32D32My supervise/immanger overloads patient steps0.680.32D33Whenever pressere build up, my supervise/immanger works to to work faster, over if it means0.610.32D34Ware supervise/immanger overloads patient steps0.610.510.51D34Ware supervise/immanger overloads patient steps0.610.510.51D34Ware supervise/immanger overloads patient steps0.510.510.51D34Ware supervise/immanger overloads patient steps0.510.510.51D34Ware supervise/immanger overloads patient steps0.510.510.51D35Ware supervise/immanger overloads patient steps0.510.510.51D34Ware supervise/immanger overloads patient steps0.510.510.51D34Ware supervise/immanger overloads patient steps0.510.520.51D34Ware supervise/immanger overloads patient steps0.510.520.51D34Ware supervise sup	A30		0.33	0.58	
Frageword         and an indication dimension         0.83           0         When an event concrs, but it is an order source to the patient, theor dens is ir reported?         0.67         0.63           0.3         When an event concrs, but it is an order source to the patient, theor dens is ir reported?         0.67         0.63           0.4         When an event concrs, but it has an order source to the patient, theor dens is ir reported?         0.68         0.62           0.4         Was uper source to the patient is the p	A18	It is just by chance that serious mistakes don't happen around here	0.45	0.67	
Di         When an event coscs, but is capatin and instituted before afficting the gater. Invo often is it reported?         0.45         0.67           UP         When an event coscs, but its ma gatheres acuteroms to the gatient how often is it reported?         0.53         0.77           Supervise/Imager event costs, but its ma gatheres acuteroms to the gatient how often is it reported?         0.53         0.73           Supervise/Imager event costs, but its ma gatheres acuteroms to the gatient how often is it reported?         0.68         0.22           Supervise/Imager event costs, but its may be provide the how often is a fary provide gatheres acuteroms of the gatheres how often is its mager acute to work faster, even if it means         0.26         0.51           Supervise/Imager event costs, but its may be provide gatheres acuteroms of the gatheres how often is its mager acuteroms of the gatheres how often is its mager acuteroms of the gatheres how often is its mager acuteroms of the gatheres how often is its mager acuteroms of the gatheres how often is its mager acuteroms of the gatheres how often is its mager acuteroms of the gatheres how often is its mager acuteroms of the gatheres how often is its mager acuteroms of the gatheres how often is its mager acuteroms of the gatheres how often is its mager acuteroms of the gatheres how often is its mager acuteroms of the gatheres how often is its mager acuteroms of the gatheres how often is its mager acuteroms of the gatheres how often is its mager acuteroms of the gatheres how often is its mager acuteroms of the gatheres how often is its mager acuteroms of the gatheres how often is its mager acuteroms of the gatheres how often is its mager acuteroms of the gatheres how often is its mager acuterom	A28	We have patient safety problems in this ward/department	0.37	0.60	
D2         Whon an event scores, hal it is an adverse extreme to the patient, how often is in reported?         0.57         0.37           Whon are vert scores. That cancel have an adverse extreme to the patient, but does not,         0.59         0.77           Whon are vert scores. That cancel have an adverse extreme to the patient, but does not,         0.59         0.73           With any event scores. That cancel have an adverse extreme to the patient. How offen is in reporting?         0.68         0.52           With any event scores. That cancel have an adverse extreme to the patient. How offen is in reporting?         0.68         0.51           With any event scores. That cancel have an adverse extreme to the own off. It means that support explanation.         0.66         0.51           With any event scores. That cancel have an adverse to the own off. It means that support explanation.         0.66         0.57           Att         We are actively doing things to ingrove patient. Safely needlants         0.67         0.57           Att         We are actively doing things to ingrove patient. Safely needlants         0.67         0.67           Att         We are actively doing things to ingrove patient. Safely needlants         0.67         0.67           Att         We are actively doing things to ingrove patient. Safely needlants         0.62         0.67           Att         Have we make chanage active we vexit the the active to the active tot	Frequen	cy of error reporting (outcome dimension)			0.83
D3     Non-or event occurs that could have an advance outcome to the patient but does not.     D.59     D.71       Supprivation/manager expectations and actions promoting potient safety     D.64     D.73       Supprivation/manager potients safety procedures     D.68     D.73       Supprivation/manager outprotections     D.68     D.73       Supprivation/manager outprotections     D.66     D.73       Supprivation/manager outprotections     D.66     D.73       Supprivation/manager outprotection/manager wants us to work faster, even if it means     D.66     D.74       Supprivation/manager outprotection/manager wants us to work faster, even if it means     D.66     D.74       Supprivation/manager outprotection/manager wants us to work faster, even if it means     D.66     D.74       Supprivation/manager outprotection/manager wants us to work faster, even if it means     D.66     D.74       Supprivation/manager outprotection/manager wants us to work faster, even if it means     D.66     D.74       Supprivation/manager outprotection/manager wants us to work faster, even if it means     D.66     D.74       Supprivation/manager outprotection/manager wants us to work faster, even if it means     D.66     D.74       Supprivation/manager outprotection/manager wants us to work faster, even if it means     D.67     D.74       Supprivation/manager outprotection/manager wants us to work faster, even if it means     D.67     D.74	D1	When an event occurs, but is caught and identified before affecting the patient, how often is it reported?	0.45	0.67	
bow often is it reported?0.6881My supervise/manager provides positive feedback when holps esse a job done0.540.7382My supervise/manager seriously considers staff suggestion for improving patient stafty0.680.8282Wheney pressure hold any my supervise/manager wents us to work faster, even if it means0.260.5183Wheney pressure hold any my supervise/manager wents us to work faster, even if it means0.260.5184My supervise/manager seriously considers staff suggestion for improving patient stafty0.680.6784My supervise/manager constrations improvement0.660.6784My approvise/manager constrations improvement0.660.6784My approvise/manager overbacks patient safety0.450.6782After we make changes to patient safety we evaluate their effectiveness0.520.7982My hen a tot of work needs to be done quick/, we work together as a team to get the work done0.510.7283When a tot of work needs to be done quick/, we work together as a team to get the work done0.510.7284In this word/department poes of toose with more autority0.540.730.7385Staff will freely passet hold they sees something data may negatively affect patient care0.510.7286Staff dot free to question the docisions and carcino of toose with more autority0.540.7387When a tot of work main fast more docision and carcino on autority0.540.7488Staff affer too question	D2	When an event occurs, but it has no adverse outcome to the patient, how often is it reported?	0.87	0.93	
B1 according to exabiliated particular sadapt proceedings and provide patient proceedings and provide patient sadapt proceedings and provide patient proceedings and provide patient provide patient proceedings and provide patient provide patient proceedings and proc	D3		0.59	0.77	
isocording to established patient safety procedures         isocording to established patient safety procedures         0.68         0.82           B3         Whenever present build up, my supervisor/manager varies us to work faster, even if it means of the safety procedures is the safety procedure is the safety pr					0.68
B3       Whenever presence build up, my supervise/manager wants us to work faster, even if it means       0.26       0.51         B4       My supervise/manager overlooks patient safely problems       0.14       0.38         Organisational learning—continuous improvement       0.56         A14       Ware archive/doing integrises to improve patient safely.       0.45       0.57         A22       After we make changes to patient safely, we evaluate their effectiveness       0.40       0.57         A23       Wine and to of work needs to be doen quickly, we work together as a team to get the work done       0.52       0.79         A1       Poople support one another in this ward/department       0.62       0.79       0.79         A24       When a cria in this ward/department       0.51       0.72       0.73         A37       In this ward/department, people test each other with respect       0.62       0.79         A37       In this ward/department, beip out on a cria in this ward/department       0.51       0.72         C37       Staff full freely speek up if they see concelling that may negatively affect patient care       0.51       0.72         C38       Staff full freely speek up if they see concelling that may negatively affect patient care       0.52       0.73         C40       Ware ariand to ask dynotexis bave at mote spatient safety		according to established patient safety procedures			
taking shorizatistaking providents after y noblems0.140.38B4My superiorizational expression/manager version/sample reproducts in providents after y noblems0.140.38Carlo0.550.670.67A14We are actively doing things to improve patient safety, we evaluate their effectiveness0.450.67CAZAfter we make changes around here0.300.55Teamwork within units0.620.790.73A1People support one another in this ward/department0.620.79A3Whan a lot of work, noxids to be done quickly, we work together as a tam to get the work done0.450.67A7In this ward/department people treat each other with respect to a point safety point one aroan in this ward/department people ward/department people treat each other with respect patient care0.510.72C3Staff walf free to question the docisions and actions of these with more autority0.540.73C3Staff walf reating to ask questions where something doesn't seem right0.640.30C4We are informed about changes put into place based on event reports0.520.72C7We have incoget staff at an stage are held against them0.540.74C8Staff world ward/department werd department werd d					
that happen reparatedy0.450.67A14We are actively doing things to improve patient safety0.450.67A16Mistakes have led to positive changes around here0.300.55A22After we make changes to pointer safety, we voltate thir effectiveness0.450.67Teamwork, within units0.730.73A1People support one arother in this ward/department0.620.79A3When a lo of work, needs to be done quickly, we work kopsther as a team to get the work done0.650.67A3When no a rea in this ward/department gets busy, others help out0.230.480.73Communication openness0.510.720.720.72C3Staff will freely see something doesn't seem right0.540.730.73C411Staff are afried to ask questions where something doesn't seem right0.520.720.73C7We are given feedback about changes put into place based on ovent reports0.520.720.80C7We are given feedback about changes put into place based on ovent reports0.650.530.53C7We are given feedback about the against them0.810.900.900.93A2We have more agained in this ward/department, we discuss ways to prevent events from happening again0.540.730.58C45Staff net hat this ward/department to a plate tare0.930.930.55C7We are informed about events that plage in this ward/department.0.650.530.53 <t< td=""><td></td><td>taking shortcuts</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		taking shortcuts			
A1         We are acting whing to improve patient service         0.45         0.67           A16         Mistakes have led to positive changes around here         0.30         0.55           C2         Ather we make changes to patient stervice, we evaluate their affectiveness         0.45         0.67           Teamwork, within units         0.73         0.73         0.73           A1         People support one another in this ward/department         0.62         0.79           A3         When a lot of work needs to be doine quickly, we work together as a team to get the work done         0.45         0.67           A1         heigh support one another in this ward/department gets busy, others help out         0.23         0.48           Communication opermess         0.51         0.72         0.67           C3         Staff well freel to question the decisions and actions of those with more authority         0.54         0.73           C11         Wat are ariaf to ask questions where something doesn't seem right         0.52         0.52           C2         We are informed about changes put into place based on event reports         0.54         0.74           C3         Staff fuel that their mistakes are held against them         0.54         0.74           C3         Staff fuel that their mistakes are held against them acein on hour's that is best for		that happen repeatedly	0.14	0.38	
A16       Mistakes have bet to positive changes around here       0.30       0.55         A22       Atter we make changes to patient statery, we evaluate their effectiveness       0.62       0.79         A1       People support one another in this ward/department       0.62       0.79         A1       When to are area in this ward/department, people treat each other with respect       0.62       0.79         A20       When one area in this ward/department, people treat each other with respect       0.67       0.67         C31       Staff wall feely speak up if they see something that may negatively affect patient care       0.51       0.72         C3       Staff wall feely speak up if they see something desn't seem right       0.29       0.54       0.73         C11       Staff wall feely respeak up if they see something desn't seem right       0.29       0.54       0.73         C12       We are informed about events that happen in this ward/department       0.52       0.72       0.74         C13       Staff ward/department, we discuss weys to prevent events from happening again       0.54       0.74         C34       We are informed about events that happen in this ward/department       0.56       0.55         C34       Staff word that their mistakes are held against them       0.81       0.51       0.51         C35	•		0.45	0.07	U.66
A22       After we make changes to patient adety, we evaluate their effectiveness       0.45       0.67         Teamwork within units       0.62       0.79         A1       People support one another in this ward/department       0.62       0.79         A3       When a lot of work needs to be done quickly, we work together as a team to get the work done       0.65       0.67         A20       When one area in this ward/department gets busy, others help out       0.23       0.48         Communication opermess       0.51       0.72       0.73         C31       Saff will feely speak up if they see something dates may negatively affect patient care       0.61       0.72         C31       Saff will feely speak up if they see something doesn't seem right       0.52       0.72         C32       Saff will feely speak up if they see something doesn't seem right       0.52       0.72         C33       Saff work that instakes are held against them to reports       0.80       0.81         C34       May work weeks that appeer the stack they they see something doesn't seem right       0.52       0.72         C44       We are given feedback about changes put into place based on event reports       0.54       0.73         C35       Saff rep that the mistakes are held against them       0.51       0.51         C45       S					
Teamwork within units         0.73           A1         People support one another in this ward/department         0.62         0.79           A1         When a lot of work needs to be done quickly, we work together as a team to get the work done         0.62         0.79           A7         In this ward/department, people treat each other with respect         0.62         0.79           A0         When one area in this ward/department gets busy, others help out         0.23         0.48           Communication openness         0.67         0.51         0.72           C3         Staff will field y speak up if they see something doesn't seem right         0.29         0.54           C4         Staff well field rea facial to ask questions where something doesn't seem right         0.52         0.72           C1         We are given feedback about changes put into place based on event reports         0.52         0.72           C3         hth is ward/department         0.54         0.73           C3         hth is ward/department         0.54         0.73           C4         We are given feedback about changes put into place based on event reports         0.52         0.72           C3         hth is ward/department, we discuss ways to prevent events from happening again         0.54         0.54           A0         their					
A1People support one another in this ward/department0.620.79A3When a lot of work needs to be done quickly, we work together as a team to get the work done0.450.67A7In this ward/department gets busy, others help out0.230.48C3Staff will freely speak up if they see something that may negatively affect patient care0.510.72C3Staff will freely speak up if they see something dosn't seem right0.540.73C4Staff real faid to ask questions where something dosn't seem right0.540.73C7We are informed about error0.520.72C8Staff feel free to question where something dosn't seem right0.520.72C9We are informed about error0.520.72C1We are informed about events that happen in this ward/department0.540.74C3In this ward/department, we discuss ways to prevent events from happening again0.640.74C4We are informed about events that happen in this ward/department0.520.53C5Staff feel that their instakes are held against them0.810.90C4Staff feel that their instakes they make are keyt in their personal files0.540.74C4Ve use more agency/temporary staff than is best for patient care0.900.30C4Staff feel that heir instakes they make are keyt in their personal files0.540.73C4We use more agency/temporary staff than is best for patient care0.540.73C4Ve use more agency/tempor		5 1 1	0.45	0.67	
A3       When a lot of work needs to be done quickly, we work together as a team to get the work done       0.45       0.67         A7       In this ward/department, people treat each other with respect       0.62       0.79         Communication openness       0.67       0.67         C3       Staff will feely speak up if they see something that may negatively affet patient care       0.51       0.72         C3       Staff will feel to question the decisions and actions of those with more authority       0.54       0.80         C11       Staff are afraid to ask questions where something doesn't seem right       0.52       0.72         Feedback and communication about events that happen in this ward/department, we discuss ways to prevent events from happening again       0.54       0.74         C3       If this ward/department, we discuss ways to prevent events from happening again       0.51       0.76         C4       We are given feedback about changes put into place based on event reports       0.51       0.90         C3       If this ward/department, we discuss ways to prevent events from happening again       0.51       0.74         C45       Staff wort hat mistakes they make are kept in their personal files       0.51       0.53         C58       Staff wort hat mistakes they make are kept in their personal files       0.54       0.51         C42       We have					0.73
A7       In this ward/department, people treat each other with respect       0.62       0.79         A20       When one area in this ward/department gets busy, others help out       0.51       0.72         C3       Staff will freely speak up if they see something that may negatively affect patient care       0.51       0.72         C3       Staff are arfaid to ask questions where something doesn't seem right       0.29       0.54         C11       Staff are affaid to ask questions where something doesn't seem right       0.54       0.72         C7       We are informed about events that happen in this ward/department       0.54       0.72         C7       We are informed about events that happen in this ward/department       0.54       0.72         C7       We are informed about events that happen in this ward/department       0.54       0.74         C8       Staff feel that their instakes are held against them       0.81       0.90         C4T       Wa we mough staff to handle the workload       0.34       0.59         A24       We frame and in the size of patient care       0.90       0.30         A25       Staff feel that their instakes are held against them       0.54       0.73         A26       Noff this ward/department work fload patient stafet in patient care       0.90       0.30         A24					
A20       When one area in this worl/department gets busy, others help out       0.23       0.48         Communication openness       0.51       0.72         C8       Staff feel free to question the decisions and actions of those with more authority       0.54       0.73         C11       Staff are ariaid to ask questions where something doesn't seem right       0.29       0.54       0.72         C11       Staff are ariaid to ask questions where something doesn't seem right       0.29       0.54       0.74         C11       Wa are given feedback about changes put into place based on event reports       0.52       0.72       0.80         C11       We are given freedback about changes put into place based on event reports       0.54       0.74       0.76         C3       Infits ward/department, we discuss ways to prevent events from happening again       0.54       0.76       0.76         C45       Staff worry that mistakes are held against them       0.81       0.90       0.51       0.57         C45       Staff worly then work longer hours that is best for patient care       0.17       0.41       0.74         C45       Staff in his ward/department work longer hours that is best for patient care       0.17       0.41       0.59         C45       Staff then work in "cissi moded" trip in to do too much, too quickly or too patient car					
Communication openness0.67C3Staff will freely speak up if they see something that may negatively affect patient care0.510.72C3Staff ere fare to question the decisions and actions of those with more authority0.540.73C11Staff are afraid to ask questions where something doesn't seem right0.290.54C1We are given feedback about changes put into place based on event reports0.520.72C7We are informed about events that happen in this ward/department0.540.74C9In this ward/department, we discuss ways to prevent events from happening again0.640.80A25Staff feel that their mistakes are held against them0.810.90A26Staff worry that mistakes they make are kept in their personal files0.280.53A27We have enough staff to handle the workload0.340.590.58A13We use more agencry/meporary staff than is best for patient care0.170.410.41A13We use more agencry/meporary staff than is best for patient care0.170.410.59A24We often work in "crisis mode" trying to do too much, too quickly0.540.740.59A24We often work too gratient safety only after an adverse event happens0.510.720.72Teamwork across hospital wards/departments that need to work together0.690.700.70F11Hospital management subport for patient safety only after an adverse event happens0.510.720.70F2Hospital wards/departme					
C3       Staff vill freely speak up if they see something that may negatively affect patient care       0.51       0.72         C8       Staff feel free to question the decisions and actions of those with more authority       0.54       0.73         C8       Staff feel free to question the decisions and actions of those with more authority       0.54       0.73         Feedback       accommunication about error       0.80         C1       We are given feedback about changes put into place based on event reports       0.54       0.74         C9       In this ward/department, we discuss ways to prevent events from happening again       0.64       0.80         Non-purity       Tesponse to error       0.65       0.53       0.53         Staff for thit ward/department, we discuss ways to prevent events from happening again       0.44       0.90       0.58         X15       Staff for thit ward/department work longer hours that is best for patient care       0.17       0.41       0.59         X14       We orken ourds haff to handle the workload       0.34       0.59       0.51       0.52         X14       We often work in Crisis mode <sup>2</sup> trying to do too much, too quickly       0.54       0.74       0.59         X14       We often work in Crisis mode <sup>2</sup> trying to do too much, too quickly on parient acters on hospital management suport for patient safety only after an adver			0.23	0.48	
C8Staff feel free to question the decisions and actions of those with more authority0.540.73C11Staff are afraid to ask questions where something doesn't seem right0.290.54C21We are given feedback about changes put into place based on event reports0.520.72C1We are given feedback about changes put into place based on event reports0.540.74C3In this ward/department, we discuss ways to prevent events from happening again0.640.80Non-punitive response to error0.650.72A26Staff worry that mistakes they make are kept in their personal files0.280.53C31We use more agency/temporary staff than is best for patient care0.170.41A13We use more agency/temporary staff than is best for patient care0.900.30A24We often work in "crisis mode" trying to do too much, too quickly0.540.73A15Habeital management seems interested in patient safety only after an adverse event happens0.510.72A27Habeital management seems interested in patient safety only after an adverse event happens0.510.72A28Habeital management seems interested in patient safety only after an adverse event happens0.510.72A31Hospital management seems interested in patient safety only after an adverse event happens0.510.72A41Habeital management seems interested in patient safety only after an adverse event happens0.510.72A41Hospital wards/departments work well together to provide the best care for p			0.54	0.70	0.67
C11Staff are a fraid to ask questions where something doesn't seem right0.290.54Feedback reduction about error0.80C1We are given feedback about changes put into place based on event reports0.520.72C3We are informed about events that happen in this ward/department0.640.74C9In this ward/department, we discuss ways to prevent events from happening again0.640.80Non-putitive response to error0.650.720.65Staff feel that their mistakes are held against them0.810.900.65A26Staff worry that mistakes they make are kept in their personal files0.280.530.57Staffinguse more agency/temporary staff than is best for patient care0.170.410.54A12Staff in this ward/department work longer hours that is best for patient care0.170.410.54A13We use more agency/temporary staff than is best for patient care0.090.300.54A24We often work in "criss mode" trying to do too much, too quickly0.540.740.54Hospital management seems interested in patient safety is a top priority0.540.730.59F10The actions of hospital management show that patient safety is a top priority0.540.660.76F11Hospital wards/departments work well together to provide the best care for patients0.420.650.72F11Hospital wards/departments do not coordinate well with end there0.500.700.70F24Ho					
0.80C1We are given feedback about changes put into place based on event reports0.520.72C7We are informed about events that happen in this ward/department0.540.74C3In this ward/department, we discuss ways to prevent events from happening again0.640.80Non-punitive response to error0.810.90A26Staff ede that their mistakes are held against them0.810.90A26Staff vorry that mistakes they make are kept in their personal files0.280.53Staffing0.840.590.58A12Staff in this ward/department work longer hours that is best for patient care0.090.30A24We due enough staff to handle the workload0.540.74A13We use more agency/temporary staff than is best for patient care0.090.30A24We often work in "crisis mode" trying to do too much, too quickly0.540.73F10The actions of hospital management show that patient safety is a top priority0.540.73F11Hospital management seems interested in patient safety only after an adverse event happens0.510.70F4There is good cooperation across hospital wards/departments work well together to provide the best care for patients0.420.65F2Hospital wards/departments do not coordinate well with need to work together0.510.70F3Thispital wards/departments do not coordinate well with septial wards/departments do not coordinate well with ued to work with staff from other hospital 					
C1       We are given feedback about changes put into place based on event reports       0.52       0.72         C7       We are informed about events that happen in this ward/department       0.54       0.74         C9       In this ward/department, we discuss ways to prevent events from happening again       0.64       0.80         Non-puritive response to error       0.51       0.90         A15       Staff feel that their mistakes are held against them       0.81       0.90         A26       Sarrory that mistakes they make are kept in their personal files       0.28       0.53         A27       We have enough staff to handle the workload       0.34       0.59         A12       Staff in this ward/department work longer hours that is best for patient care       0.17       0.41         A13       We are enore agency/temporary staff than is best for patient care       0.59       0.30         A26       We fore work in "crisis mode" trying to do too much, too quickly       0.54       0.72         A14       Hospital management seems interested in patient safety only after an adverse event happens       0.69       0.70         F10       There is good cooperation across hospital wards/departments do not coordinate well with       0.51       0.72         F11       Hospital wards/departments do not coordinate well with       0.50       0.70			0.29	0.54	0.90
C7We are informed about events that happen in this ward/department.0.540.74C9In this ward/department, we discuss ways to prevent events from happening again0.640.80Non-punitive response to error0.65N15Staff feel that their mistakes are held against them0.810.90A26Staff worry that mistakes are held against them personal files0.280.53C7We have enough staff to handle the workload0.340.59A2We have enough staff to handle the workload0.740.41A13We use more agency/temporary staff than is best for patient care0.090.30A24Wo often work in "crisis mode" trying to do to much, too quickly0.540.74Hospital management support for patient safety0.540.74Hospital management support for patient safety is a top priority0.540.73F10The actions of hospital management show that patient safety is a top priority0.540.72Tearmover across hospital wards/departments that need to work together0.500.70F13Hospital wards/departments work well together to provide the best care for patients0.420.65F2Hospital wards/departments work well together to provide the best care for patients0.700.70F3Things "fall between the cracks" when transferring patients0.510.72F4The actions of hospital wards/departments do not coordinate well with each other0.510.72F3Things "fall between the cracks" when transferring patients <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.52</td> <td>0.72</td> <td>0.00</td>			0.52	0.72	0.00
C9In this ward/department, we discuss ways to prevent events from happening again0.640.80Non-purityresponse to error0.65A15Staff feel that their mistakes are held against them0.810.90A26Staff worry that mistakes are held against their personal files0.810.90Staffing0.840.340.53A21Staff in this ward/department work longer hours that is best for patient care0.170.41A13We use more agency/temporary staff than is best for patient care0.990.30A24We often work in "crisis mode" trying to do too much, too quickly0.540.74Apstal maragement support for patient safety0.540.73A11Hospital management seems interested in patient safety is a top priority0.540.73Apstal management seems interested in patient safety only after an adverse event happens0.510.72Tearwork across hospital units0.510.720.70F4There is good cooperation across hospital wards/departments that need to work kugether0.430.66F13Hospital wards/departments on to coordinate well with wards/departments0.500.72F4It is often unpleasant to work with staff from other hospital wards/departments0.510.72F3It is often unpleasant to work with staff from other hospital wards/departments0.510.72F4It is often unpleasant to work with staff from other hospital wards/departments0.510.72F3It is often unpleasant to					
Non-punitive response to error0.65A15Staff feel that their mistakes are held against them0.810.90A26Staff worry that mistakes they make are kept in their personal files0.280.53A27We have enough staff to handle the workload0.340.590.58A12Staff in this ward/department work longer hours that is best for patient care0.170.410.41A13We use more agency/temporary staff than is best for patient care0.090.300.54A24We often work in "crisis mode" trying to do too much, too quickly0.540.740.59Hospital management support for patient safety0.510.720.70F10The actions of hospital management show that patient safety only after an adverse event happens0.510.72F21Hospital wards/departments work well together to provide the best care for patients0.430.66F13Hospital wards/departments do not coordinate well with each other0.510.70F2Hospital wards/departments do not coordinate well with each other0.510.70F3Things "fall between the cracks" when transferring patients for mother hospital work/departments0.510.72F3Inportant patient care information is often lost during shift change0.510.72F5Important patient care information is often lost during shift changes0.510.72F3Problems often occur in the exchange of information across hospital wards/departments0.510.72F5Important patient care inform					
A15Staff feel that their mistakes are held against them0.810.90A26Staff worry that mistakes they make are kept in their personal files0.280.53Staffing0.340.590.51A2We have enough staff to handle the workload0.340.59A11Staffing in this ward/department work longer hours that is best for patient care0.090.30A24We often work in "crisis mode" trying to do too much, too quickly0.540.74Hospital management support for patient safety0.540.74Hospital management support for patient safety only difer an adverse event happens0.510.72Teamwork across hospital management show that patient safety only difer an adverse event happens0.430.66F11Hospital wards/departments work well together to provide the best care for patients0.420.65F2Hospital wards/departments work well together to provide the best care for patients0.420.65F2Hospital wards/departments do not coordinate well with each other0.500.70F3It is often unpleasant to work with staff from other hospital wards/departments0.510.72F3Things "fall between the cracks" when transferring patients0.510.72F3It hopotant patient care information is often lost during shift changes0.480.69F3Inpotent patient care information is often lost during shift changes0.510.72F3Inpotent patient care information is often lost during shift changes0.530.61 <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.04</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>0.65</td>			0.04	0.00	0.65
A26       Staff worry that mistakes they make are kept in their personal files       0.28       0.53         Staffing       0.58         A2       We have enough staff to handle the workload       0.34       0.59         A12       Staff in this ward/department work longer hours that is best for patient care       0.17       0.41         A13       We use more agency/temporary staff than is best for patient care       0.09       0.30         A24       We often work in "crisis mode" trying to do too much, too quickly       0.54       0.74         Hospital management support for patient safety       0.54       0.73         F10       The actions of hospital management safety is a top priority       0.54       0.73         Hospital management support for patient safety only after an adverse event happens       0.51       0.72         Teamwork			0.81	0 90	0.00
Staffing       0.58         A2       We have enough staff to handle the workload       0.34       0.59         A12       Staff in this ward/department work longer hours that is best for patient care       0.17       0.41         A13       We use more agency/temporary staff than is best for patient care       0.09       0.30         A24       We often work in "crisis mode" trying to do too much, too quickly       0.54       0.74         Hospital management support for patient safety       0.54       0.73         F10       The actions of hospital management seems interested in patient safety only after an adverse event happens       0.51       0.72         Teamwork across hospital units       0.70       0.74       0.76         F4       There is good cooperation across hospital wards/departments that need to work together       0.50       0.70         F13       Hospital wards/departments do not coordinate well with each other       0.50       0.70         F2       Hospital wards/departments do not coordinate well with wards/departments       0.51       0.39         wards/departments       0.51       0.72       0.70         F3       It is often unpleasant to work with staff from other hospital wards/departments       0.50       0.70         F4       There is good coeparation acrosk" when transferring patients from one ward/dep					
A2We have enough staff to handle the workload0.340.59A12Staff in this ward/department work longer hours that is best for patient care0.090.30A13We use more agency/temporary staff than is best for patient care0.090.30A24We often work in "crisis mode" trying to do too much, too quickly0.540.74Hospital management support for patient safety0.540.730.69F10The actions of hospital management show that patient safety is a top priority0.540.730.70F11Hospital management seems interested in patient safety only after an adverse event happens0.510.720.70Tearmworkacross hospital units0.700.730.700.70F4There is good cooperation across hospital wards/departments that need to work together0.500.700.70F13Hospital wards/departments do not coordinate well with each other0.500.700.70F7It is often unpleasant to work with staff from other hospital wards/departments0.150.390.77F3Things "fall between the cracks" when transferring patients from one ward/department to another0.510.72F5Important patient care information is often lost during shift change0.510.72F9Problems often occur in the exchange of information0.570.76			0.20	0.00	0 58
A12Staff in this ward/department work longer hours that is best for patient care0.170.41A13We use more agency/temporary staff than is best for patient care0.090.30A24We often work in "crisis mode" trying to do too much, too quickly0.540.74Hospital management support for patient safety0.540.73F10The actions of hospital management show that patient safety only after an adverse event happens0.510.72Teamwork across hospital units0.730.69F11Hospital management support for patient care horp the best care for patients0.430.66F2Hospital wards/departments do not coordinate well with each other work departments0.500.70F3This often unpleasant to work with staff from other hospital wards/departments0.510.72F5Important patient care information is often lost during shift changes0.510.72F5Important patient care information is often lost during shift changes0.510.72F9Problems often occur in the exchange of information across hospital wards/departments0.510.72	•	We have enough staff to handle the workload	0.34	0 59	0.00
A13We use more agency/temporary staff than is best for patient care0.090.30A24We often work in "crisis mode" trying to do too much, too quickly0.540.74Hospital management support for patient safety0.540.73F10The actions of hospital management show that patient safety is a top priority0.540.73F11Hospital management seems interested in patient safety only after an adverse event happens0.510.72Teamwork cores hospital units0.700.700.70F4There is good cooperation across hospital wards/departments that need to work together0.420.65F13Hospital wards/departments work well together to provide the best care for patients0.420.65F2Hospital wards/departments do not coordinate well with each other0.500.70F7It is often unpleasant to work with staff from other hospital wards/departments0.510.39F3Things "fall between the cracks" when transferring patients from one ward/department to another0.510.72F3Ingor fall between the cracks" when transferring patients changes0.510.72F3Ingor fall between the cracks" when transferring patients changes0.480.69F3Problems often occur in the exchange of information across hospital wards/departments0.570.76					
A24We often work in "crisis mode" trying to do too much, too quickly0.540.74Hospital management support for patient safety0.540.73F10The actions of hospital management show that patient safety is a top priority0.540.73F11Hospital management seems interested in patient safety only after an adverse event happens0.510.72Teamworkcross hospital units0.70F4There is good cooperation across hospital wards/departments that need to work together0.430.66F13Hospital wards/departments work well together to provide the best care for patients0.420.65F2Hospital wards/departments do not coordinate well with each other0.500.70F7It is often unpleasant to work with staff from other hospital wards/departments0.510.39Hospital handoffs and transitions0.710.72F3Things "fall between the cracks" when transferring patients changes0.510.72F5Important patient care information is often lost during shift changes0.480.69F9Problems often occur in the exchange of information across hospital wards/departments0.510.72					
Hospital management support for patient safety0.69F10The actions of hospital management show that patient safety is a top priority0.540.73F11Hospital management seems interested in patient safety only after an adverse event happens0.510.72Teamwork across hospital units0.700.70F4There is good cooperation across hospital wards/departments that need to work together0.430.66F13Hospital wards/departments work well together to provide the best care for patients0.420.65F2Hospital wards/departments do not coordinate well with each other0.700.70F7It is often unpleasant to work with staff from other hospital wards/departments0.150.390.77F3Things "fall between the cracks" when transferring patients from one ward/department to another0.510.720.72F5Important patient care information is often lost during shift changes0.480.690.69F9Problems often occur in the exchange of information across hospital wards/departments0.570.76					
F10The actions of hospital management show that patient safety is a top priority0.540.73F11Hospital management seems interested in patient safety only after an adverse event happens0.510.72Teamwork across hospital units0.430.66F4There is good cooperation across hospital wards/departments that need to work together0.430.66F13Hospital wards/departments work well together to provide the best care for patients0.420.65F2Hospital wards/departments do not coordinate well with each other0.500.70F7It is often unpleasant to work with staff from other hospital wards/departments0.150.39Hospital handoffs and transitions0.510.72F3Things "fall between the cracks" when transferring patients from one ward/department to another0.510.72F5Important patient care information is often lost during shift changes0.480.690.69F9Problems often occur in the exchange of information across hospital wards/departments0.570.76		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			0.69
F11       Hospital management seems interested in patient safety only after an adverse event happens       0.51       0.72         Teamwork across hospital units       0.43       0.66         F4       There is good cooperation across hospital wards/departments that need to work together       0.42       0.65         F13       Hospital wards/departments work well together to provide the best care for patients       0.42       0.65         F2       Hospital wards/departments do not coordinate well with each other       0.50       0.70         F7       It is often unpleasant to work with staff from other hospital wards/departments       0.15       0.39         Hospital Homosement to more ward/department to another       0.77       0.77         F3       Things "fall between the cracks" when transferring patients from other hospital from oward/department to another       0.51       0.72         F3       Inportant patient care information is often lost during shift       0.51       0.72       0.77         F3       Inportant patient care information is often lost during shift       0.48       0.69       0.57       0.76         F9       Problems often occur in the exchange of information across hospital wards/departments       0.57       0.76       0.76	•		0.54	0.73	
F4There is good cooperation across hospital wards/departments that need to work together0.430.66F13Hospital wards/departments work well together to provide the best care for patients0.420.65F2Hospital wards/departments do not coordinate well with each other0.500.70F7It is often unpleasant to work with staff from other hospital wards/departments0.150.39Hospital hardoffs and transitions0.510.72F3Things "fall between the cracks" when transferring patients from one ward/department to another0.480.69F5Important patient care information is often lost during shift changes0.570.76F9Problems often occur in the exchange of information across hospital wards/departments0.570.76					
need to work togetherF13Hospital wards/departments work well together to provide the best care for patients0.420.65F2Hospital wards/departments do not coordinate well with each other0.500.70F7It is often unpleasant to work with staff from other hospital wards/departments0.150.39Hospital handoffs and transitions0.71F3Things "fall between the cracks" when transferring patients from one ward/department to another0.510.72F5Important patient care information is often lost during shift changes0.480.69F9Problems often occur in the exchange of information across hospital wards/departments0.570.76	Teamwo	rk across hospital units			0.70
F2Hospital wards/departments do not coordinate well with each other0.500.70F7It is often unpleasant to work with staff from other hospital wards/departments0.150.39F7It is often unpleasant to work with staff from other hospital wards/departments0.150.39Hospital handoffs and transitions0.77F3Things "fall between the cracks" when transferring patients from one ward/department to another0.510.72F5Important patient care information is often lost during shift changes0.480.69F9Problems often occur in the exchange of information across hospital wards/departments0.570.76	F4		0.43	0.66	
each otherF7It is often unpleasant to work with staff from other hospital wards/departments0.150.39Hospital handoffs and transitions0.77F3Things "fall between the cracks" when transferring patients from one ward/department to another0.510.72F5Important patient care information is often lost during shift changes0.480.69F9Problems often occur in the exchange of information across hospital wards/departments0.570.76	F13	Hospital wards/departments work well together to provide the best care for patients	0.42	0.65	
wards/departments       0.77         Hospital handoffs and transitions       0.77         F3       Things "fall between the cracks" when transferring patients from one ward/department to another       0.51       0.72         F5       Important patient care information is often lost during shift changes       0.48       0.69         F9       Problems often occur in the exchange of information across hospital wards/departments       0.57       0.76	F2		0.50	0.70	
F3Things "fall between the cracks" when transferring patients from one ward/department to another0.510.72F5Important patient care information is often lost during shift changes0.480.69F9Problems often occur in the exchange of information across hospital wards/departments0.570.76		wards/departments	0.15	0.39	
from one ward/department to another         F5       Important patient care information is often lost during shift changes       0.48       0.69         F9       Problems often occur in the exchange of information across hospital wards/departments       0.57       0.76	Hospital				0.77
changes       F9     Problems often occur in the exchange of information across hospital wards/departments     0.57     0.76	F3		0.51	0.72	
across hospital wards/departments	F5		0.48	0.69	
F14 Shift changes are problematic for patients in this hospital 0.29 0.54	F9		0.57	0.76	
	F14	Shift changes are problematic for patients in this hospital	0.29	0.54	

+Cronbach's  $\alpha$  statistic for internal consistency reliability, 1238<n<1412.

error" and "Hospital handoffs and transitions", which all formed as before. The dimensions for "Teamwork across units" and "Teamwork within units" both dropped a single item, and the "Supervisor/manager expectations and actions promoting patient safety" dimensions dropped two items. The most noticeable differences were the absence of "Organisational learning—continuous improvement" and "Hospital management support", and the grouping of a subset of the items that previously formed the "Overall perceptions of safety" and "Staffing" dimensions into a single dimension.

Finally, using the whole sample, reliability analyses were performed for each of the groups of items defined by this factor structure. These generally indicated suitable internal consistency, with Cronbach's  $\alpha$ >0.7 for seven of the nine dimensions. Of the two dimensions that fell below this level, one was a two-item scale, and both were among the five dimensions to survive unchanged from the original model (ie, the weak reliability was not due to the form of our revised model). None of the scales gained improved consistency by dropping further items.

#### **DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

Our findings differ from the results obtained within the USA. Although we might have expected the changes made to the UK questionnaire to have resulted in some differences, they are unlikely by themselves to explain the findings. The results from the spilt EFA and CFA indicate that the questionnaire may be measuring different constructs, or aspects of patient safety within the UK, as compared to the USA. For example, the optimal model derived from the UK data resulted in a dimension that linked "Overall perceptions of safety" and "Staffing". This may have come about because of an increased tendency to associate staffing levels with safety within the UK as compared to the USA. Similarly, it is possible that the items in the dimensions "Organisational learning-continuous improvement" and "Hospital management support for patient safety" may have been interpreted differently within a UK sample. Our findings indicate that national and healthcare-specific differences may limit the extent to which the HSOPC survey is applicable outside of the USA. We would also point to the lack of crossvalidation (EFA followed by CFA) in the USA data set as indicating another potential flaw in the design and validation of the HOSPC questionnaire. The relatively higher values for the CFA fit indices achieved in the original study from which the HSOPC scales were constructed may be partially explained by their use of the same sample for the EFA and CFA. Split-half validation was not undertaken; and testing the model using the same data from which it was constructed would most likely result in an overestimate of the degree of fit.

The measurement of safety culture and climate in healthcare is still in a relatively immature stage of development as compared to other domains (eg, offshore installations, manufacturing).<sup>16 17</sup> Other researchers<sup>3</sup> have warned about the dangers of too readily generalising about safety culture and climate across industries with widely differing characteristics, forms of hierarchy and work practices. This is especially the case within healthcare, where hospitals—for example, may vary greatly according to norms and operating procedures, even within the same Trust. Our findings add further weight to the argument that there is a need to further develop and construct theoretical models that are sensitive to the context-specific nature of healthcare environments including hospitals.<sup>18</sup> Without such work, researchers run the risk of adopting a "broad brush" approach to safety culture and overgeneralising their findings. Our advice to healthcare managers considering a survey of patient safety culture within their organisations is twofold: first, to examine carefully the extent to which survey tools and instruments provide extensive details of their psychometric properties; and second, to consider the degree to which potential surveys have undergone validation in other contexts either within their own country or with other healthcare systems that are similar or comparable. A number of surveys fulfil the requirements of these criteria and psychometric and validation exercises have been carried out with other patient safety tools and surveys.<sup>4 6 9</sup> With regard to our future work, we plan to compare our findings using the HSOPC with similar studies that we understand to be on-going within the UK, other European countries and elsewhere.

#### Competing interests None.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

#### REFERENCES

- Kirk S, Marshall M, Claridge T, et al. Evaluating safety culture. In: Walshe K, Boaden R, eds. Patient safety: research into practice. Maidenhead: Open University Press, 2006.
- Provonost P, Sexton B. Assessing safety culture: guidelines and recommendations. *Qual Saf Health Care* 2005;14:231–3.
- Kho ME, Carbonne JM, Lucas J, et al. Safety climate survey: reliability of results from a multicenter ICU survey. Qual Saf Health Care 2005;14:273–8.
- Sexton JB, Helmreich RL, Neilands TB, et al. The Safety Attitudes Questionnaire: psychometric properties, benchmarking data and emerging research. BMC Health Serv Res 2006;6:44.
- Nieva VF, Sorra J. Safety culture assessment: a tool for improving patient safety in organizations. *Qual Saf Health Care* 2003;12:ii17–23.
- Delikas ET, Hofoss D. Psychometric properties of the Norwegian version of the Safety Attitudes Questionnaire (SAQ), Generic version (Short Form 2006). BMC Health Serv Res 2008;8:191.
- Mannion R, Konteh FH, Davies HTO. Assessing organisational culture for quality and safety improvement: a national survey of tools and tool use. *Qual Saf Health Care* 2009;18:153–56.
- Kirk S, Parker D, Claridge T, et al. Patient safety culture in primary care: developing a theoretical framework for practical use. Qual Saf Health Care 2007;16:313–20.
- Parker D, Lawrie M, Ashcroft D. Developing the Manchester Patient Safety Framework (MAPSAF). In: Hignett S, Norris B, Catchpole K, Hutchinson A, Tapley S, eds. *Improving patient safety—conference proceedings*. Loughborough: The Ergonomics Society, 2008:301–5.
- Flin R, Burns C, Mearns K, et al. Measuring safety climate in health care. Qual Saf Health Care 2006;15:109–15.
- Hutchinson A, Cooper KL, Dean JE, et al. Use of a safety climate questionnaire in UK health care: factor structure, reliability and usability. *Qual Saf Health Care* 2006:15:347–53.
- 12. **Sorra J**, Nieva V. *Reliability and validity of the Hospital Survey on Patient Safety*. Rockville, MD: Westat, 2006. Ref Type: Report.
- Hu L, Bentler PM. Fit indices in covariance structure modelling: sensitivity to underparameterization model misspecification. *Psychol Methods* 1998;3: 424–53.
- Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. http://www.ahrq.gov/qual/ hospsurvey08/ (accessed 28 Jul 2008).
- Conway JM, Huffcutt A. A review and evaluation of exploratory factor analysis practices in organizational research. *Organ Res Methods* 2003;6:147–68.
- Means K, Flin R, Gordon R, *et al.* Measuring safety climate in offshore installations. Work Stress 1998;**12**:238–54.
- Zohar D. Safety climate in industrial organizations: theoretical and applied implications. J Appl Psychol 1980;65:95–102.
- Flin R. Measuring safety culture in healthcare: a case for accurate diagnosis. Saf Sci 2007;45:653-67.

Question Number	Item					
		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree or Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree
A25	Patient safety is never sacrificed to get the work done	4.5	19.6	16.8	45.8	13.3
A30	Our procedures and systems are good at preventing errors from happening	2.0	9.9	21.7	59.0	7.4
A18	It is just by chance that serious mistakes don't happen around here	13.3	40.3	19.0	22.1	5.3
A28	We have patient safety problems in this ward/department	11.5	45.6	22.8	17.2	2.8
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Most of the time	Always
D1	When an event occurs, but <u>is caught and identified</u> <u>before affecting the patient</u> , how often is it reported?	1.4	10.0	23.8	41.6	23.3
D2	When an event occurs, but it has <u>no adverse outcome to</u> the patient, how often is it reported?	0.9	8.4	20.6	43.5	26.7
D3	When an event occurs that <u>could have an adverse</u> outcome to the patient but does not, how often is it reported?	0.4	5.5	16.0	39.4	38.7
		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Disagree or Agree	Agree	Strongly Agree
B1	My supervisor/manager provides positive feedback when he/she sees a job done according to established patient safety procedures	5.5	18.4	19.9	45.9	10.4
B2	My supervisor/manager seriously considers staff suggestions for improving patient safety	3.0	7.4	16.2	60.4	13.1
B3	Whenever pressure build up, my supervisor/manager wants us to work faster, even if it means taking shortcuts	14.9	53.9	17.4	11.3	2.6

Appendix 1: Percentage responses to HSOPC items

Question Number	Item					
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Most of the time	Always
B4	My supervisor/manager overlooks patient safety problems that happen repeatedly	26.7	47.2	12.2	10.6	3.4
A14	We are actively doing things to improve patient safety	0.8	4.6	17.8	63.1	13.6
A16	Mistakes have led to positive changes around here	1.9	8.1	24.9	59.2	5.9
A22	After we make changes to patient safety, we evaluate their effectiveness	1.4	12.6	28.6	52.5	4.9
A1	People support one another in this ward/department	1.0	6.4	7.4	60.8	24.4
A3	When a lot of work needs to be done quickly, we work together as a team to get the work done	1.4	6.1	8.0	63.9	20.6
A7	In this ward/department, people treat each other with respect	2.1	10.2	11.1	60.9	15.7
A20	When one area in this ward/department gets busy, others help out	7.1	23.1	15.0	45.9	8.0
C3	Staff will freely speak up if they see something that may negatively affect patient care	0.8	4.6	21.3	49.1	24.2
C8	Staff feel free to question the decisions and actions of those with more authority	3.7	16.2	34.3	34.3	11.5
C11	Staff are afraid to ask questions where something doesn't seem right	2.2	8.4	30.0	42.8	16.7
C1	We are given feedback about changes put into place based on event reports	3.3	15.3	33.2	36.1	12.2
C7	We are informed about events that happen in this ward/department	2.2	10.9	27.9	42.6	16.5
C9	In this ward/department, we discuss ways to prevent events from happening again	2.1	9.1	28.3	41.5	19.0
A15	Staff feel that their mistakes are held against them	4.7	20.4	24.5	43.6	6.9

Appendix 1: Percentage responses to HSOPC items

Question Number	Item					
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Most of the time	Always
A26	Staff worry that mistakes they make are kept in their personal files	5.6	31.1	31.0	28.1	4.2
A2	We have enough staff to handle the workload	16.5	38.1	13.7	28.4	3.3
A12	Staff in this ward/department work longer hours that is best for patient care	8.5	24.6	28.9	33.8	4.1
A13	We use more agency/temporary staff than is best for patient care	2.7	11.6	18.9	40.1	26.7
A24	We often work in "crisis mode" trying to do too much, too quickly	13.1	39.9	19.7	24.1	3.2
F10	The actions of hospital management show that patient safety is a top priority	4.8	19.0	31.0	37.4	7.7
F11	Hospital management seems interested in patient safety only after an adverse event happens	8.1	36.0	23.6	27.8	4.6
F4	There is good cooperation across hospital wards/departments that need to work together	3.4	24.7	30.2	38.5	3.1
F13	Hospital wards/departments work well together to provide the best care for patients	1.8	15.5	30.9	45.2	6.5
F2	Hospital wards/departments do not coordinate well with each other	14.4	41.7	22.1	19.5	2.3
F7	It is often unpleasant to work with staff from other hospital wards/departments	2.2	12.2	30.5	46.8	8.3
F3	Things "fall between the cracks" when transferring patients from one ward/department to another	15.6	42.7	23.6	16.4	1.6
F5	Important patient care information is often lost during shift changes	6.3	27.8	25.8	34.6	5.5
F9	Problems often occur in the exchange of information across hospital wards/departments	7.3	46.5	25.4	19.4	1.4

## Appendix 1: Percentage responses to HSOPC items

Question Number	Item					
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Most of the time	Always
F14	Shift changes are problematic for patients in this hospital	3.9	23.5	35.5	31.8	5.4

Appendix 1: Percentage responses to HSOPC items

	Dimension/ Item	Factor Loadings from EFA	Standardised Path Coeffiecient	Item R <sup>2</sup> from CFA	Reliability of Dimensions
Frequ	lency of error reporting				0.83
D1	When an event occurs, but is <u>caught and identified</u> <u>before affecting the patient</u> , how often is it reported?	0.73	0.71	0.51	
D2	When an event occurs, but it has <u>no adverse outcome</u> to the patient, how often is it reported?	0.93	0.92	0.85	
D3	When an event occurs that <u>could have an adverse</u> <u>outcome to the patient</u> but does not, how often is it reported?	0.87	0.75	0.56	
Staffi	ng and overall perceptions of safety				0.70
A2	We have enough staff to handle the workload	0.77	0.46	0.21	
A24	We often work in "crisis mode" trying to do too much, too quickly	0.79	0.56	0.32	
A18	It is just by chance that serious mistakes don't happen around here	0.60	0.67	0.45	
A28	We have patient safety problems in this ward/department	0.66	0.58	0.33	
	rvisor/manager expectations and actions promoting nt safety				0.76
B1	My supervisor/manager provides positive feedback when he/she sees a job done according to established patient safety procedures	0.85	0.76	0.57	
B2	My supervisor/manager seriously considers staff suggestions for improving patient safety	0.77	0.81	0.65	
Team	work within units				0.78
A1	People support one another in this ward/department	0.78	0.80	0.64	
A3	When a lot of work needs to be done quickly, we work	0.80	0.69	0.47	

Appendix 2: Revised 9-dimensional model fitted to the UK data: EFA, CFA and I	l reliability anal	vses
---	--------------------	------

	Dimension/ Item	Factor Loadings from EFA	Standardised Path Coeffiecient	Item R <sup>2</sup> from CFA	Reliability of Dimensions
A7	together as a team to get the work done In this ward/department, people treat each other with	0.80	0.82	0.66	
	respect				
Non-	ounitive response to error				0.65
A15	Staff feel that their mistakes are held against them	0.70	0.88	0.78	
A26	Staff worry that mistakes they make are kept in their personal files	0.88	0.58	0.34	
Com	nunication openness				0.67
C3	Staff will freely speak up if they see something that may negatively affect patient care	0.62	0.70	0.49	
C8	Staff feel free to question the decisions and actions of those with more authority	0.48	0.74	0.55	
C11	Staff are afraid to ask questions where something doesn't seem right	0.84	0.49	0.24	
Feed	back and communication about error				0.80
C1	We are given feedback about changes put into place based on event reports	0.78	0.73	0.53	
C7	We are informed about events that happen in this ward/department	0.81	0.75	0.56	
C9	In this ward/department, we discuss ways to prevent events from happening again	0.68	0.77	0.60	
	work across hospital units				0.73
A1	People support one another in this ward/department	0.76	0.70	0.49	
A3	When a lot of work needs to be done quickly, we work	0.76	0.66	0.44	

## Appendix 2: Revised 9-dimensional model fitted to the UK data: EFA, CFA and reliability analyses

	Dimension/ Item	Factor Loadings from EFA	Standardised Path Coeffiecient	Item R <sup>2</sup> from CFA	Reliability of Dimensions
	together as a team to get the work done				
A7	In this ward/department, people treat each other with respect	0.68	0.69	0.48	
Hosp	ital handovers and transitions				0.77
F3	Things "fall between the cracks" when transferring patients from one ward/department to another	0.61	0.71	0.51	
F5	Important patient care information is often lost during shift changes	0.81	0.70	0.48	
F9	Problems often occur in the exchange of information across hospital wards/departments	0.75	0.74	0.55	
F14	Shift changes are problematic for patients in this hospital	0.72	0.56	0.31	

Appendix 2: Revised 9-dimensional model fitted to the UK data: EFA, CFA and reliability analyses